



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013 BJMCS 3481
Title of the Manuscript:	Oscillation criteria for the solutions of higher order functional difference equations of neutral type

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Regarding the manuscript MS: 2013 BJMCS 3481; I am so sorry for not being able to follow your instructions. Next is my Report: The document seems to be technically correct but it lacks originality. Parts of its results are published previously for very close equations as in Corollary 2 of "Y. Zhou, Non-Oscillatory Solutions of Higher Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Difference Equations, J. Anal. Appl. 22(2003), 959-975." and in Theorem 2.4 of "Y. Tian, Existence of Nonoscillatory Solution of High-Order Neutral Difference Equations with Continuous Arguments, Journal of Physical Sciences, 10 (2006), 1 – 17." These are just some examples. *comments received as email from reviewer*	The equation we have considered is non linear non homogeneous and is different from that of these papers. Our technique is different. Our condition that is both necessary and sufficient for every bounded solution to be oscillatory, is easily verifiable and the results are comparable with the results of continuous case.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		